| 1 | | |
|----|--|----------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | GARY FRANCIS FISHER, | No. 2:20-cv-00847 KJM DB P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | SCOTT NICHOLAS GRAHAM, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief | |
| 18 | under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided | |
| 19 | by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | |
| 20 | On January 20, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which | |
| 21 | were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings | |
| 22 | and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff has not filed | |
| 23 | objections to the findings and recommendations. | |
| 24 | Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and | |
| 25 | recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to | |
| 26 | keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service | |
| 27 | of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. | |
| 28 | ///// | |
| | | 1 |

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 20, 2021 (ECF No. 8), are adopted in full; and
- 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

DATED: July 5, 2021.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI